

# Career Education Planning District (CEPD) 1 Core Performance Indicator (CPI) Trends: *2008-2010*

---

August 1, 2011

***Prepared for***  
Career and Technical Education (CTE) Administrators  
Michigan

***Submitted by***  
Office of Career and Technical Education (OCTE)  
Lansing, Michigan  
<http://www.michigan.gov/mde>

## BACKGROUND

The Perkins Act of 2006 requires that states establish and support performance accountability systems that can assess the effectiveness of the state and local funding recipients in Career and Technical Education (CTE). The state-developed performance measures consist of core indicators, any additional indicators that the state determines, and the “state adjusted levels of performance” for the indicators.<sup>1</sup>

This document provides information regarding the performance of Career Education Planning District (CEPD) 1 on eight Core Performance Indicators (CPIs) at the secondary level. The eight CPIs in Michigan are 1S1–Academic Attainment in Reading/Language Arts, 1S2–Academic Attainment in Mathematics, 2S1–Technical Skill Attainment, 3S1–School Completion, 4S1–Student Graduation Rate, 5S1–Placement, 6S1–Nontraditional Participation, and 6S2–Nontraditional Completion. Definitions for the eight CPIs are available at <http://www.cteisreports.com/Reports/CPI/Measures%20and%20Performance%20Levels.pdf>.

A graph associated with each CPI for CEPD 1 is provided in the Appendix and depicts data on the CPI for three years (2007–2008, 2008–2009, and 2009–2010), except for 2S1 (Technical Skill Assessment) which depicts only two years of data (2008–2009 and 2009–2010) since there were no statewide technical skill assessments in 2007–2008. The green column in each graph shows the percentage scored by CEPD 1 on the CPI, the gray column shows the overall statewide percentage on the CPI, and the black square dots indicate the yearly State accepted target for the CPI. Green or gray columns below the black square dot for a particular year indicate that the Region or State did not meet the State accepted target for that particular CPI in the given year. Similarly, green or gray columns above the black square dot for a particular year indicate that the CEPD or State met/exceeded the State accepted target for that particular CPI in the given year.

## PURPOSE

The intent of this document is to serve as a tool for CTE administrators to use in determining what CPI strategies are working or not working within their CEPD and region. Furthermore sharing of information in this document with CTE teachers and other relevant personnel within the Region may help stimulate discussion on how to improve Regional performance on individual CPIs.

## CONTACT

For any further enquiries regarding this report please contact:


Krishnan Sudharsan  
Office of Career and Technical Education  
Michigan Department of Education  
P. O. Box 30712  
Lansing, Michigan 48909  
(517) 241-7652; [sudharsank@michigan.gov](mailto:sudharsank@michigan.gov)

---

<sup>1</sup> Perkins Act of 2006: The Official Guide. 2006. Association for Career and Technical Education, Alexandria, Virginia

## APPENDIX:

### *1S1 Academic Attainment in Reading/Language Arts*




Year	Annual State 1S1 Target (%)
2008	61.00
2009	47.50
2010	47.50

## APPENDIX:

### *1S2 Academic Attainment in Mathematics*

---




Year	Annual State 1S2 Target (%)
2008	55.00
2009	45.00
2010	45.05

## APPENDIX:

### *2S1 Technical Skill Attainment*

---



\* Data provided is too low to assure confidentiality or data not provided


\*\* No Technical Skill Assessments (TSAs) were conducted in 2008 in Michigan

Year	Annual State 2S1 Target (%)
2008	No TSAs in this year
2009	35.00
2010	35.00

## APPENDIX:

### *3S1 School Completion*

---




Year	Annual State 3S1 Target (%)
2008	89.00
2009	89.00
2010	90.00

## APPENDIX:

### *4S1 Student Graduation Rate*

---




Year	Annual State 4S1 Target (%)
2008	80.00
2009	80.00
2010	80.00

## APPENDIX:

### *5S1 Placement*

---




Year	Annual State 5S1 Target (%)
2008	94.79
2009	94.79
2010	94.79



## APPENDIX:

### *6S1 Nontraditional Participation*

---




\* Data provided is too low to assure confidentiality or data not provided

Year	Annual State 6S1 Target (%)
2008	19.50
2009	20.25
2010	22.50

## APPENDIX:

### *6S2 Nontraditional Completion*

---



\* Data provided is too low to assure confidentiality or data not provided

Year	Annual State 6S2 Target (%)
2008	58.15
2009	58.15
2010	66.56